UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,909	04/13/2006	Philippe Noelle	H0004872-2930	5094	
	7590 04/24/200 TURBO TECHNOLO	EXAMINER			
3201 WEST LOMITA BOULEVARD (LAW DEPARTMENT)			BASKIN, JEREMY S		
TORRANCE, CA 90505			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3753		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/575,90	9	NOELLE, PHILIPPE				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jeremy S.		3753				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI INSIDE OF THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MONTH IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILI	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no eve tion. period will apply and will y statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	09 January 2009	)					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority <b>ı</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3753

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Specification**

1. The specification is objected to because the text of the specification sections should be preceded by a section heading as defined by 37 CFR 1.77(b) in uppercase and without underlining or bold type. Specification paragraphs should be designated by paragraph numbers or line numbers.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In lines 13-14, the recitation of "without thereby influencing the acting length of the spring" has no support in the specification or drawings. Adjusting spring 11 on retainer 7 does not leave the acting length of the spring unchanged, rather it either compresses or expands it. Therefore, the specification fails to enable the invention as now claimed.

Art Unit: 3753

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British-Thomson (UK Pat. No. GB-216136-A) in view of Jesperson (3,695,577).
- 6. In regard to Claim 1, Thomson teaches an adjustable valve (Figure 2) comprising a piston member 48 and a coil spring 52 that acts on the on the piston member via 51. The coil spring is supported by an adjustable retainer 53 that is threaded into the spring via reduced diameter portion. Thomson fails to specifically teach where the retainer is threaded directly on the reduced diameter portion of the spring so as to adjust the bias force.
- 7. Jesperson discloses a spring biased valve. Jesperson teaches where a bias force is adjusted by a reduced diameter portion 18 of a spring 19 is threaded onto a retainer 6 to adjust the bias force (col. 3, lines 45-54).
- 8. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to secure the Thomson members 53, 55 together (formed as a single piece) and use the interaction of the spring and threads on the outside of member 53 to adjust the bias value as shown by Jesperson, to avoid the need to form parts 53, 55 separately and to thread their connection.
- 9. In regard to Claims 2 and 3, the spring is threaded, or fixedly fitted, to the piston member 48 via the centrally located threaded stem connected to spring member 51 (see Figure 2).

Art Unit: 3753

# Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed on 9 January 2009 with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hughes (1,897,517) teaches a fluid valve with threaded adjustability between a spring retainer and valve actuator. Smith (1,026,472) teaches a valve possessing a spring with a reduced diameter portion threaded onto an adjustable retainer.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy S. Baskin whose telephone number is (571) 270-7421. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30AM to 5:00PM ET.

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen M. Hepperle/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753

/J. S. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3753